



BCRG

**BROOKLYN COMMUNITY
REPRESENTATIVE GROUP**

BCRG COMMUNITY FORUM 3/2018

MEETING 41

WEDNESDAY

14 NOVEMBER, 2018

6:00pm for 6:30 – 8:30 pm

Brooklyn Community Centre, Cypress Avenue, Brooklyn

Chair: Catherine Botta **Notetaker:** Andrea Mason



MEETING PURPOSE

To provide an update on progress towards resolution of dust, noise and odour issues

Light Refreshments	
1	Welcome, Catherine Botta Introductions and Acknowledgements
2	Rolling Actions Update, Andrea Mason
3	Community Update, Bert Boere
4	EPA Victoria Update on dust, noise and odour program and EPA reform Daniel Hunt, Manager Metropolitan Region
5	Brimbank City Council Update Simon Vittorio, Strategic Coordinator Planning Compliance
6	BCRG Review and Future Planning Process
7	Wrap up & Close.

The intent of these meeting notes is to promote open communication between local business, local and state government, community and EPA Victoria (EPA). They are not to be used in a manner that compromises this objective.

Notes from this meeting will be posted on the Brooklyn Industrial Precinct website and will be available to the public. Meeting participants should advise Andrea Mason or Cath Botta if they would like their name removed from this public document.

eo.bcrge@gmail.com | www.brooklynip.com.au | 0427 338 482

ACTIONS FROM THE MEETING

Action 1118_1: EPA to convey issues regarding lack of feedback from callers to the Call Centre.

Action 1118_2: EPA to investigate if they can repeat the odour testing booth at the next Open House.

Action 1118_3: BCRG to invite the EPA Air quality scientist to come and talk to the differences between monitors.

Action 1118_4: EPA to ask West Gate Tunnel Authority if they own the monitoring station on corner of Nolan Ave and Millers Rd. EPA to advocate on behalf of BCRG for access to the data from the WGT monitors and/or real-time data on their website.

Action 1118_5: EPA to provide a report on the new legislation at a future BCRG forum.

Action 1118_6: Brimbank CC to report on future use of the site on the corner Market and Somerville Roads.

ITEM 1.

WELCOME, (Catherine Botta)

Catherine Botta (Convenor) welcomed everyone to the BCRG forum. She welcomed Cr Mia McGregor, (Maribyrnong City Council) and noted apologies including Cr Sandra Wilson (Hobsons Bay CC).

The final notes from the Jul 2018 meeting are available from the [Brooklynip website](#).

.....

ITEM 2.

ROLLING ACTIONS, (Andrea Mason)

Andrea Mason, Executive Officer, BCRG reminded everyone of the Rolling Actions list which records actions from each meeting and updates actions that have been addressed between forums. These are available on the [Brooklynip website](#) and are circulated with each enews.

0718_1: *Western Distributor Authority to provide responses to the issues raised by the community at the July 2018 BCRG forum.*

A report from WDA was distributed and is available in [Attachment 1](#) of these notes.

0718_4: *EPA to discuss road sweeping programs with VicRoads, councils and other industries.*

See response from EPA in Item 4 in these notes.

.....

ITEM 3.

BROOKLYN RESIDENTS ACTION GROUP, (Bert Boere)

Bert reported that there is community representation from some or all of the groups BRAG, Don't Destroy Miller's Rd and Friends of Lower Kororoit Creek on the working groups for the West Gate Tunnel – Miller's Rd and Williamtown Rd, the VicRoads Corridor Study Group and the Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning Inner West Air Quality Community Reference Group.

.....

ITEM 4.

EPA VICTORIA UPDATE ON DUST, NOISE AND ODOUR PROGRAM AND EPA REFORM

(Daniel Hunt, Manager Metropolitan Region)

The presentation can be seen in full in [Attachment 2](#).

Brooklyn Investigations (All sectors) 2018

There have been 136 inspections in the area since Jan 2018

- Delacor Pty Ltd fire inspection: 38
- Incident/ investigation/ strategic inspections for different issues: 98

Brooklyn Inspections

1 Jan to 30 Oct 2018




FIG 1 WEST FOOTSCRAY / TOTTENHAM FIRE RECOVERY

Environmental monitoring

- Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) air sampling along Stony Creek
- Asbestos – no asbestos was found to have been released from the building in the fire
- Particles
- Water quality monitoring
- Sediment quality monitoring

Notices issued to factory site owners

- Aim to secure the site and prevent any further contamination of Stony Creek
- The owners will be required to regularly report their progress to the EPA

Recovery plan

- EPA, working closely with Maribyrnong City Council and waterway managers have commenced development of a recovery plan for Stony Creek and surrounding areas.

For information about the recovery:

- Go to maribyrnong.vic.gov.au/recovery
- Attend Maribyrnong Council community day Sun 18th 1100-1430 Cruickshank Park




FIG 2 STONY CREEK MONITORING

Brooklyn 2018 (All sectors)

- 12 Notices have been complied and been revoked
- 2 Notices Drafted – AA Containers & Veolia
- 2 Notices Escalated – Hewson
- 3 Suspended (VCAT) – Western Land & Delta (Western Land is not currently receiving waste)



FIG 3 BROOKLYN REMEDIAL NOTICES

Current Remedial Notices

Compliance Activity - 18 Notices currently active

- Dust: 5
- Odour: 4
- Water: 3
- Stockpile: 3
- Chemical: 3



FIG 4 CURRENT REMEDIAL NOTICES

Notice Appeals

3 Remedial Notices currently Suspended (VCAT) – Western Land & Delta

(EPA is unable to comment on these cases while being appealed)



FIG 5 WESTERN LAND AND DELTA

Odour Monitoring Survey

Odour – shift to prevention measures

- Residential monitoring in:
 - o Brooklyn
 - o Yarraville
 - o West Footscray
 - o Sunshine
- Industrial monitoring around major industry in Brooklyn
- 462 Individual Odour Checks across 21 surveys
 - o 273 Residential
 - o 189 Industrial




FIG 6 ODOUR MONITORING

Analysis of Odour Pollution Reports

Brooklyn Odour Reports significantly down (approx. 60%)

- August – 90 pollution reports
- September – 38 pollution reports
- October – 30 pollution reports

Ongoing monthly inspections of top odour report sources:

- Australian Tallow Producers
- JBS Australia
- Cedar Meats

ATP has been asked to close in the rear section of their yard where products are received. This will require a permit from council to undertake these works and ATP has requested an extension to their notice. They have also engaged an air and odour expert. Another notice is in relation to the biofilter.




FIG 7 RECORDED SOURCES OF ODOUR

QUESTION: Does the odour monitoring include Sunshine West as there are terrible odours there?

DAN: Not currently, although I'll note your concerns. We would expect that odour in Sunshine West might be detected with our current monitoring – wind direction pending.

QUESTIONS RELATED TO ODOUR: Are the inspections announced as there seems to be a pattern of odour occurring regularly in the middle of the night? It would seem to be deliberate in order to avoid detection. The odour has been particularly bad in the past few weeks and not everyone is reporting them due to 'reporting fatigue'.

DAN: EPA uses a mix of announced (with only a day's warning) and unannounced inspections. Three of the top odour sites are inspected monthly. In the past it was discovered that odour was being released when workers were opening the doors on hot nights to let cooler air in - which is not permitted. Most occurrences were related to

such human errors based on worker comfort. We have no current evidence of why there are more incidences. EPA has taken steps to reduce the odour reports based on our odour expert's recommendations. Reporting statistics are still the only way for EPA to be certain.

Several questions and comments were raised in relation to the Call Centre:

- How many calls does the Call Centre need to take before there is a response and what hours of the day do they monitor calls?
- After making nine calls, there has been no feedback from the Call Centre except for one auto email response.
- There seems to be a missing step between the Call Centre and the person making the report.
- What is the best way to report?

DAN: The Call Centre and the dispatch team monitor reports until 10pm. Only emergency responses occur in the late hours. There is an after-hours system. One of the problems in finding the source is the odour disappearing before the EPA officers can attend.

Community reports are recorded directly into the EPA database and the EPA reports are taken from this. The database is updated every couple of minutes. There will be a new system operating from January 2018 which should provide greater oversight.

Calling direct to the Call Centre is the best method. The web portal is another avenue but email is not as responsive.

Action 1118_1: EPA to convey issues regarding lack of feedback from callers to the Call Centre.

QUESTION: How do we best report the odours, the characteristics of the odour and the source if we don't know where it is coming from?

DAN: At the BCRG Open House in March 2018, EPA set up an odour testing booth to help community members learn how to identify and describe odours. Sometimes it is difficult to separate odours if, for example, there is rendering taking place at an abattoir site or there are multiple sources on one site. It is more difficult to identify sources in built up areas such as Brooklyn.

Action 1118_2: EPA to investigate if they can repeat the odour testing booth at the next Open House.

QUESTION: What is the burning smell near the stockpiles?

DAN: Stockpile hotspots in waste sites can produce a burning smell. The operator at the source of this smell is currently appealing a Notice from EPA in VCAT.

After the meeting: Andrea added these notes from the August 2017 BCRG Forum.

Good Reporting of Issues is Invaluable to EPA Victoria - some Tips!

At the BCRG forum on August 16, 2017, Jim Demetriou, EPA's Principal Odour Expert, explained how EPA relies on the residents' evidence regarding impacts associated with odour to underpin investigations. Here is a list of some key points:



- **Be consistent - Everybody perceives an odour differently** and it is difficult to place a label to the characteristic if you have not been exposed to it. Don't worry about how you describe a smell **but use that description consistently** each time you make a report about that same odour.
- **When applying an intensity (strength) to an odour you must also be consistent and realistic** - you may be required to support your position, what's important is that it is strong enough to have an impact not how strong it is. So when applying a rating provide a descriptor such as: 3 being distinct (present when breathing normally) or 6 being Extremely strong and you needed to get away from it immediately.
- **Record the details of the event:**
 - When you were home and the duration of the exposure during this period.
 - Wind strength and direction.
 - How the odour has infringed on your lives
- **EPA may require a statement so good notes are recommended.**

Pm10 Exceedences

Driest last 3 months, **an extremely dry winter**, but **one exceedance** since June.

The red line in Fig 8 is the 6-month rolling average of exceedance days, the blue line is a "dryness index".



FIG 8 MONTHLY PM₁₀ EXCEEDENCES IN BROOKLYN

Brooklyn Particle Sensors

- 7 Nova PM sensors were installed in the Brooklyn precinct on 19 April 2018
- 1 of these (orange marker) is at EPA Brooklyn Ambient Air Monitoring Station (AAMS)
- EPA scientists are working on calibration of the sensors and analyzing the data
- Series of PM₁₀ data collected from April to June 2018, shows sensor raw data at Brooklyn AAMS is underpredicting PM₁₀
- Preliminary investigation shows sensor raw data can be corrected to PM10, but the correction is complex



FIG 9 NOVA PM SENSOR PLACEMENTS




FIG 10 PM₁₀ READINGS FROM DIFFERENT SENSORS

PM₁₀ Exceedences




FIG 11 CUMULATIVE PM₁₀ EXCEEDENCES

Currently at 2 exceedences for PM₁₀ and EPA was in the area yesterday (Nov 13) during the dust event.

There are discrepancies between the EPA air station monitor and the small sensors - Can we rely on the small sensors?

The difference between the two is the complexity of what they can measure. The Nova sensors are not as accurate, and the data is less defined. They have only been in use since March 2018 and EPA is still hopeful that the Nova sensors will be able to provide some useful data.

Action 1118_3: BCRG to invite the EPA Air quality scientist to come and talk to the differences between monitors.

Brooklyn Engagement EPA/ Brimbank City Council

Amendment of previous Memorandum of Understanding between EPA and Council:

- to combine all our efforts in Brooklyn to achieve better environmental outcomes
- to help inform compliance actions for dust and particle generating activities
- to gain better understanding of industry's practices especially during high risk days.



FIG 12 DUST ACTION PLAN

Monitoring industry's practices especially during high risk days

EPA Dust Operation in 13 Nov 2018:

Local surveillance and investigation of potential dust sources in response to indications of poor air quality from the Brooklyn air monitoring station showed:

- Track out of Dust on Bunting Road from Sunshine Groupe
- Track out of Dust on Somerville Road from Delta
- Track out of Dust in the entrance of 69, Bunting Road
- There was Dust on the roadway of MacDonald Road

EPA will be employing a more targeted monitoring program to better lead the industry to reduce their impacts on air quality

- Forecasting of poor air quality possibility by EPA's Applied Science Group
- Driving by in the Brooklyn area and investigate the dust tracks
- Inspecting dust controls and premises in the precinct.



FIG 13 DUST TRACKING OFF SITES

Road Sweeping

In response to **Action 0718_4**: EPA to discuss road sweeping programs with VicRoads, councils and other industries.

EPA discussed road sweeping programs with VicRoads, councils and other industries (to prevent the dust from spreading further)

Brimbank City Council: Bunting and Jones Road are scheduled to be swept as per weekly schedule.

VicRoads: Redirected their sweeper program to target the triangle of roads formed by Geelong Road (Princes Hwy), McDonald Road and Somerville Road. This will specifically focus on clearing detritus from the kerb and channel.

Industries: EPA require them to implement dust control measures including regular sweeping especially in high risk days.



FIG 14 ROAD SWEEPING PROGRAMS: RED IS VICROADS, BLUE IS BRIMBANK CC AND YELLOW IS INDUSTRY.

Christian Buxton, Sunshine Groupe commented that the road is swept outside their plant every day.

Simon Vittorio, Brimbank CC commented that the council roads had been swept on Nov 12 prior to Nov 13 dust event.

Following the extreme dust event on November 13, the community members raised several concerns related to dust:

- The exceedence levels for PM₁₀ are recorded and the data averaged over a 24-hour period which doesn't reflect the extreme levels recorded during certain times in a dust event. This system means that community members do not feel safe. Hourly readings would be preferable, and these should be reported.
- On November 13, one person felt physically terrible as the air quality worsened after 9am. Levels exceeded 100µg/m³. This is not for the first time this has been reported to EPA.
- Why wasn't remedial action taken when the exceedences are so high? Was anyone caught for these exceedences?
- Asthmatics are at extreme risk from the dust – dust is a killer, but odour is not.
- These issues are the same as they have been for the last 10 years.

DAN: The dust was extensive on November 13 but worst in Brooklyn. The EPA officers could not distinguish any particular dust source due to poor visibility and therefore no operators were targeted. There is no information on which industries undertook remedial action for this event.

RAMESH: The dust exceedences are part of the EPA's action plan for Brooklyn which aims to attack all of the Brooklyn area in partnership with Brimbank CC and the Officer for the Protection of the Local Environment (OPLE). EPA will visit the sites to ask what they have done towards remediation.

DAN: EPA continues to focus on dust and odour prevention in the Brooklyn area as a priority. They are trying to ensure there is a positive result through the courts system. There have been some successes, but we need to ensure industries can monitor and stop the dust.

QUESTION: When are there warnings to the community, as in the case of the fire, that say, 'don't go outside' and 'don't go home'?

DAN: In the case of fire warnings the risks are higher because the particles are smaller i.e. $PM_{2.5}$ and not PM_{10} as for the dust.

After the meeting: EPA provided a Fact sheet that explains $PM_{2.5}$ and the effects of smoke on your health. See <https://www.epa.vic.gov.au/our-work/publications/publication/2017/november/1676>

QUESTION: Who owns the new monitoring station on the corner of Nolan Ave and Millers Rd? Is the data, including $PM_{2.5}$ from the West Gate Tunnel monitors available and on their website?

Action 1118_4: EPA to ask West Gate Tunnel Authority if they own the monitoring station on corner of Nolan Ave and Millers Rd. EPA to advocate on behalf of BCRG for access to the data from the WGT monitors and/or real-time data on their website.

QUESTION: What are the changes and implications of the EPA review and changes to the legislation?

DAN: There is a new Environment Protection Act and the EPA goal is to be able to enforce the new legislation by July 2020. This requires a lot of change within EPA. The new legislation provides an emphasis on a general duty of care for industry with similar principles to the Worksafe model i.e. it sets out standards for operations and failure to meet those standards will result in sanctions – the onus is on industry to take preventative actions. This approach by an EPA organisation is a world first.

Action 1118_5: EPA to provide a report on the new legislation at a future BCRG forum.



ITEM 5.

BRIMBANK CITY COUNCIL UPDATE, (Simon Vittorio, Strategic Coordinator Planning Compliance)

The presentation can be seen in full in [Attachment 3](#).

Current Planning Applications

Council has considered 2 planning applications since last meeting - one application was lodged as a result of enforcement.

Considerations:

- will proposal achieve consistent standards?
- will there be reduced off-site impacts e.g. best work practices?
- can enforceable conditions be imposed?



702-706 Geelong Road - 4 warehouses

Application sought for 4 warehouses & associated offices.

Preferred use along Geelong Rd – lower impact.

Permit issued October 2018.

Application meets design requirements of Planning Scheme:

- Quality landscaping, identifiable design, consistent setbacks
- Fully sealed site

Good interface to adjoining land uses.



FIG 15 AND 16 CURRENT SITE AT 702-706 GEELONG ROAD



FIG 17 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AT 702-706 GEELONG ROAD

5 Centro Court

Application lodged due to enforcement.

Materials recycling (soil screening) without planning permit.

Concerns with use generating dust:

- open air screening of soil
- unsealed site
- mud on road.

IF approved development will result in:

- fully sealed and drained site
- better work practices e.g. storage and screening of soil
- enforceable conditions.




FIG 18 5 CENTRO COURT

QUESTION: Will the screening be done within a building for this site?

SIMON: The screening will not be in a building, but the machinery will be covered and have a water spraying system to reduce dust. They are still waiting on feedback from engineers. The site has concrete barriers along the sides and the stockpiles would be approximately 2m high.

QUESTION: Is this considered a suitable business for Brooklyn these days and does EPA have a say in whether this should be happening?

SIMON: This is a small site of only around 400 to 500sqm. Council planners would be expected to talk with EPA before issuing a permit. No decision has been made on this application and it is still in the early stage of the planning process.

DAN: Unfortunately, we are still stuck with poor planning regulations and the pre-existing use which hamper action. Under the new preventative duty legislation, EPA should be able to enforce compliance on operators and infringement notices where necessary.

COMMENT: No-one seems to accept that dust blows off stockpiles of any height!

Enforcement Activities

Planning Compliance Unit has been extremely active:

- continued enforcement
- regular presence in precinct
- audits, inspections & monitoring.

Coordinating compliance action with EPA.

Harder line approach taken... enough opportunities given.



Planning Infringement Notices

14 PINs issued since last meeting for offences including:

- Materials recycling without permit
- Breach to permit cond's
- Storage of goods/materials in car park

Remedial actions & timeframe to achieve compliance.

Penalty up to \$1,612.

Good response by most offenders.

Failure to comply = Magistrates Court.



69 Bunting Road – Materials Recycling (AAA Bins)

Long standing history of non-compliance.

No permit for materials recycling/skip bin operation.

Finally, recycling operation has now **ceased** due to enforcement action (storing empty bins only).



FIG 19 69 BUNTING RD

QUESTION: Is there any progress for the site at 716-720 Old Geelong Rd?

SIMON: They have until November 23 2018 to comply. There are still concerns about whether the proposed changes are achievable?

QUESTION: In your experience how far has the culture of the operators changed in response to your compliance measures?

SIMON: There has been some change for the better and operators seem to be more willing to accept planning recommendations however there are still a few operators who have been there a long time and are reluctant to change.

QUESTION: Where do trucks exit from 594 Geelong Rd? This is a noisy site with a lot of beeping at night Huge b-double trucks are doing U-turns across the nature strips and the traffic and trucks create an accident risk.

SIMON: The trucks exit through 69 Bunting Rd. Council recently undertook a joint compliance program with EPA and the land use now require a planning permit for that site.

Shipping container yards in this area didn't require a planning permit in the past but now they do if they are situated closer than 100m to a residential area.

The Brooklyn Evolution Strategy has recommendations for Geelong Rd to change towards more commercial activity which will reduce trucks using these sites in future.

QUESTION: The Old Monsanto site (corner Market and Somerville Roads) has been cleared of vegetation. What are the plans for this site?

SIMON: I believe it is being prepared for redevelopment. It is still zoned for Industrial use and there are still remediation issues resulting from contamination that need to be addressed.

COMMENT: The old building has a historical classification. It would be hoped that this area would become a Light industrial zone.

Action 1118_6: Brimbank CC to report on future use of the site on the corner Market and Somerville Roads.

Existing Use rights are for operators who have used the same site for the same purpose for over 15 years continuously, without stopping operation. In these cases, no planning permit is required for their operations. In Brooklyn the bigger issue is that many of the sites have old planning permits from years ago when environmental issues were not a major consideration. Council still explores opportunities to discuss operations with the owners to seek change. Nonetheless some operators have allowed council to upgrade permits, but these conversations need to be cooperative.

QUESTION: Are there plans for dust monitors in some sites?

DAN: The Nova PM sensors have been commissioned to do this. EPA has issue notices to some operators to install their own monitors. EPA can't enforce changes to existing use right operators. EPA can, in some cases revoke licences. Council has even less authority with their permit processes unless there is already a permit in place.

ITEM 6.

BCRG REVIEW OF PROGRESS

Participants at the meeting were asked to discuss in small groups 2 questions designed to review the progress towards achieving the goals set for 2016 - 2018:

- What has been working well?
- What has not been working so well?

Participants then shared snippets of their discussion with the whole group and discussed key issues that still need attention.

THEME: DUST

- Department of Health & Human Services (DHHS) silent on health issues
- Group loses momentum with EPA representative changes
- Dust controls need to be improved

THEME: ODOUR

- EPA efforts are working well but don't seem to have enough resourcing power
- Odour has improved a bit over the 10 years but still getting odour recalcitrants
- Sunshine West still has terrible odour issues

THEME: BCRG

- Institutions don't seem to have enough power
- Issue of the existing land rights of industries – this needs to change
- Government taxes needs reinvesting
- People power
- New groups aligned

FUTURE PLANNING FOR BCRG

Participants at the meeting were asked to post up ideas on future goals for the group and future actions to achieve the goals for the group. These ideas will be further tested and refined with group members over the next few months and tested with the broader community at the BCRG open house in March.

DUST:

Goals for 2019 - 2021:

- Understand the health impacts of dust
- Local dust levels are meeting National standards
- Improved dust controls in local Business operations

Practical actions:

- Advocate for EPA to ramp up EPA inspections of sites
- Advocate for EPA to do more unannounced inspections – operating more like Workcover
- Session to explore the impacts of dust on health of residents and the impact on houses – potential to involve DHHS
- Reports on dust monitoring including dust exceedances, PM2.5 data – is dust getting worse? What are the impacts from truck traffic?
- Highlight business best practice – ways that industry can effectively reduce dust levels locally

ODOUR:

Goals for 2019 - 2021:

- Odour sources within Brooklyn Industrial Precinct are minimised
- EPA effectively responds to all odour complaints
- EPA and local Government act to ensure compliance with standards and permits within Brooklyn Industrial Precinct

Practical Actions:

- Community Understanding odour types and odour complaint reporting process
- Monitor odour complaints, pollution reports and highlight odour occurrence patterns
- EPA reports on responses to odour complaints
- EPA and Local Government reports on odour compliance activity

BCRG

Goals for 2019 - 2021:

- Increase attendance of residents and engage with a broader cross section of community
- Understand existing and new planning controls for local government that can influence the types of industries

present locally and standards for operations

- Understand likely changes to the Environmental Protection Act and the implications for future operations of the EPA.
- BCRG continues to operate as a collaborative forum to highlight air quality issues in the area

Practical Actions

- Stronger social media presence for BCRG
- Session on Planning controls for local government – LG should be able to refuse to allow industries that are causing the problems. What is the duty of care to residents?
- Session on Noise Pollution – need to understand the impacts of noise – both background noise and traffic noise
- Session on Traffic congestion - more traffic using local roads to avoid congestion – this is a growing issue for the area
- Understanding the changes to the EP Act and the likely impacts on the focus and operations of the EPA
- Ensure alignment with newly formed advisory groups and existing local action groups to maximise people power

.....

Meeting closed 8.35pm

.....

Meeting Attendance Record – 14 November 2018

37 people registered their attendance.

Name	Organisation	Name	Organisation
Aaron Duff	Resident	Joe Coleiro	Cargill
Alyson	Don't Destroy Millers Rd	Jozef Tkac	Resident
Andrea Mason	EO, BCRG	Laurie Bell	Resident
Annette Brennan	Resident	Leighton Black	Australian Tallow Producers
Bert Boere	BRAG	Lina Grant	Resident
Bill Cole	Resident	Lisa Bentley	Resident
Carmen Largaiolli	Resident	Malcolm Ramsay	Hobsons Bay City Council
Catherine Botta	BCRG Chair	Mia McGregor, Cr	Maribyrnong City Council
Chris Dunleavy	Don't Destroy Millers Rd	Michael Kilpatrick	Cargill
Christian Buxton	Sunshine Groupe	Michael Leroy	Resident
Claire Sonogo	EPA Victoria	Neil Mico	Veolia
Daniel Hunt	EPA Victoria	Nikki Lowes	EPA Victoria
Geoff Mitchelmore	Resident Altona North	Ramesh Dianat	EPA Victoria
Heather Humphreys	Resident	Rosa McKenna	Resident
James Georgiou	Delta	Shaun Bentley	Resident
Jan Cole	Resident	Simon Vittorio	Brimbank City Council
Jill Galvin	Resident	Tabitha Wong	Resident
Jo Callus	Resident	Toby Chan	Resident
		Yasen Xu	Resident

Apologies			
Sandra Wilson, Mayor	Hobsons Bay City Council	Bruce Light	Yarraville On The Nose
Terri Johnston	Brimbank City Council		